# Growth, yield and fibre quality of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) varieties as influenced by biochar

# Fawaz MUHAMMAD<sup>1</sup>, Haruna YAKUBU<sup>1</sup>, Olanrewaju DANMAIGORO<sup>1</sup>, Mustapha SUNUSI<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

<sup>1</sup> Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Fed-	A study on growth, yield and fibre quality of kenaf ( <i>Hibiscus cannabinus</i> L.) varieties as influ- enced by biochar was conducted to determine optimum rate of biochar for better quality of kenaf fibres. The experiment was conducted during the 2023 cropping season at two locations; Faculty of Agriculture Research Farm, Federal University Dutse and Bauchi State University, Gadau. The experiments were laid in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. Biochar was applied at 5 and 10 tons/ha and incorporated into ridges at sowing. The results showed that biochar applied at the rate of 10 tons/ha had higher plant height (147.5 cm and 137.5 cm), number of leaves (119.6 and 118.5), fibre yield per plant (923 g and 839 g), fibre yield per hectare (5.50 t/ha and 4.68 t/ha) and dry weight of leaves (158.4 g and 161.9 g) respectively in Dutse and Gadau. Kenaf white variety (yar fara) had higher plant height
eral University Dutse, Jigawa, Nigeria	(166.6 g and 137.6 g), number of leaves (116.9 g and 114.8 g) and attained 50 % flowering earlier than black variety (yar baka). Higher leaves fresh weight (360 and 357 g), dry weight
	of leaves (155 and 157 g), fibre yield per plant (911 g and 825 g) and fibre yield per hectare
<sup>•</sup> Corresponding author	(5.29 t/ha and 4.79 t/ha) were obtained from the black kenaf variety (yar baka) respectively
Fawazmuhammad44@gmail.com	in Dutse and Gadau. Biochar rates did not show any significant effect on moisture content
Received 13/04/2025 Accepted 17/05/2025	and dry matter content. Application of 10 tons/ha biochar is recommended for production of maximum kenaf quality in the study area.
	Keywords: Biochar, kenaf, optimum rate, variety, fibre

# INTRODUCTION

Kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus L.) is a fast-growing annual crop from the malvaceae family that has both economical and nutritional importance. Kenaf is thought to have originated in Africa (Western Sudan) as early as 4000 BC and several varieties and cultivars are commonly cultivated (Kobayashi et al., 2003; Cheng et al., 2004; Mostofa et al, 2013). Kenaf is cultivated for its edible leaves, seeds and fibre which is used in producing twine, rope and sack cloth (Le Malieu et al., 1991). It is an erect annual shrub that grows to a height of 1-4 meters with a well-developed tap root, straight leaves and slender stems. It has huge cream-coloured blooms with reddish-purple necks. Kenaf is adaptable to a variety of soil types, but it thrives in welldrained, sandy loam soils rich in humus with a pH of 5 to 7 and it is planted throughout a wide geographic range. It requires much moisture at its early stage of growth and a rainfall of approximately 600 mm distributed across the growing season of 4-5 months (NAERLS, 2019). The Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) reports that kenaf, originating from Africa, is commercially farmed in over 20 countries, primarily China and India. Despite its origins in Africa, kenaf's fibre is used in various industries, including cordage fibre, paper pulp, rexin, lubricants, biofuels and automobile parts. Despite its low output, kenaf production from China, Bangladesh, Thailand and Myanmar contribute to over 95% of global production. Food security in the natural environment relies on healthy soil, which is crucial for plant growth and yield in kenaf production, requiring sustainable remediation techniques for environmental sustainability (Gregory et al., 2014; Ren et al., 2016; Saffeullah et al., 2021; Ullah et al., 2021).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# **Experimental site**

The experiment was conducted during the 2023 cropping season at two locations; Faculty of Agriculture Research Farm, Federal University Dutse (latitude 11°70' N, and longitude 9°34' E, and 460 m above sea level) and Bauchi State University, Gadau (latitude 11° 40' 27" N and longitude 10° 16' 30" E, and 1200 m above sea level).

# Varieties

*Black variety (yar baka)* which grows from 2-5 m, has an oxblood or maroon stem colour and matures in about 120 days. The *white variety (yar fara):* which grows up to 2-5 m with green or light green stem and palmate leaf structure and matures in about 120 days.

# Treatments and experimental design

The experiment consisted of two rates of biochar (5 tons/ ha and 10 tons/ha) and two varieties of kenaf [black (yar baka) and white (yar fara)].

The experiment was laid in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). The experimental field was cleared, ploughed, harrowed and ridged to create favourable condition for plant establishment. Plots of 3.75m × 1.50 m dimensions were marked and each plot was made up of 5 rows (0.75 m apart). The first and fifth rows were the border rows. The second and fourth rows were used as sampling rows. The third row (middle) row was used for final yield assessment (net plot). There was an alley of 1.0 m between plots and 1.5 m between replications.

# Agronomic practices

Three (3) seeds per hole were sown, at an intra-row spacing of 25 cm and inter-row spacing of 75 cm on 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2023 and 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2023 at Dutse and Gadau respectively and thinned to 2 stands, first weeding was carried out manually at 3 WAS (Weeks after seeding) and subsequent weedings were carried out when due (IAR&T, 2021).

# **Data Collection**

Parameters were assessed by tagging five (5) plants randomly from the second and fourth rows. Data collected included plant height, number of leaves, and day to 50% flowering other data were fresh and dry leaf weight, fibre yield per plant and hectare.

# **Quality parameters**

These include ash, moisture and dry matter contents that were determined using standard procedures (AOAC, 2005).

# **Data Analyses**

Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance using GenStat 16<sup>th</sup> edition and significant means were separated using Fisher's protected least significance difference.

# RESULTS

The physico-chemical characteristics of the experimental soil at Dutse and Gadau are shown in Table 1. The soils at both locations had moderate amount of nitrogen and available phosphorus. Organic carbon, calcium, magnesium, potassium, sodium and cation exchange capacity were at moderate levels at both locations. The pH ranged from 6.2 to 6.4 across the two locations and is slightly acidic.

# **Plant Height**

There was significant difference (p<0.001) in plant height between the levels of biochar applied at both locations across all sampling periods. Application of biochar at 10 t/ha had higher plant height across all the sampling periods at both locations. There were significant differences (p<0.05) between the varieties across all sampling periods at Dutse. White variety (yar fara) kenaf had taller plants across all the sampling periods while kenaf at Gadau had significant difference between the varieties at 8 and 10 weeks after sowing. No significant interaction between the factor at both locations (Table 2).

#### Table 1: Physical and chemical characteristics of the soil 0-30 cm at Dutse and Gadau during 2023 rainy season

	Dutse	Gadau
Physical properties		
Sand (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	74.5	80.6
Silt (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	13.4	12.8
$\operatorname{Clay}(\operatorname{g}\operatorname{kg}^{-1})$	12.1	6.60
Textural class	Sandy loam	Loamy sand
Chemical properties		
pH (H <sub>2</sub> O) 1:2:5	6.25	6.31
Acidity	5.36	5.55
Organic Carbon (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	1.48	1.35
Phosphorus (mg/kg)	11.2	8.14
Total Nitrogen (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.45	0.14
Exchangeable bases		
Ca (cmol/kg)	3.51	2.86
Mg (cmol/kg)	0.68	0.44
K (cmol/kg)	0.99	0.19
Na (cmol/kg)	0.86	0.50
ECEC (cmol/kg)	5.30	4.07

#### Table 2: Response of kenaf varieties plant height (cm) to biochar on at Dutse and Gadau during 2023 rainy season

		Dutse				Gadau		
Treatments		Weeks after sowing						
	8	10	12	14	8	10	12	14
Biochar (t/ha)								
5	63.2 b	106.8 b	128.5 b	131.6 b	65.2 b	100.3 b	118.2 b	126.5 b
10	82.9 a	120.1 a	145.0 a	147.5 a	84.1 a	111.6 a	131.0 a	137.5 a
Level of Significance	<.001	0.008	<.001	0.001	<.001	0.378	0.017	0.039
SE±	3.3	3.11	2.81	2.84	3.20	2.83	3.38	3.47
Variety								
Black (yar baka)	71.4 b	113.3 b	130.4 b	142.6 b	73.0 b	106.3 b	120.3	127.5
White (yar fara)	84.8 a	127.7 a	143.1 a	166.6 a	82.3 a	115.7 a	128.8	137.6
Level of Significance	0.024	0.033	0.012	0.042	0.325	0.867	0.762	0.767
SE±	2.29	3.16	3.38	3.47	2.31	2.46	2.21	2.21
Interaction								
BC X VAR	0.127	0.439	0.319	0.404	0.114	0.378	0.966	0.959

Means followed by the same letter within column are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using Fisher's protected least significance difference. BC= Biochar, VAR= Variety.

# Number of Leaves per Plant

Biochar significantly showed difference (p<0.05) at both locations except for Gadau at 12 and 14 WAS. Application of 10 t/ha had significantly higher number of leaves at both locations across all the sampling periods. No significant differences were observed among the varieties at both location across all sampling periods (Table 3).

# Number of Days to 50 % Flowering

The amounts of biochar applied at the two locations did not differ significantly in terms of flowering. At both locations, significant differences (p<0.001) were found between the varieties. 50 % days to flowering were significantly higher in white variety (yar fara) (Table 4).

# Fresh Weight of Leaves

No significant difference was observed among the levels of biochar applied, although application of 5 t/ha of biochar had higher weight of fresh leaves at both locations. There were significant differences (p<0.05) between the varieties at both locations, and the black variety (yar baka) produced highest fresh leaves weight (Table 5).

#### Table 3: Response of kenaf varieties Number of Leaves to biochar on at Dutse and Gadau during 2023 rainy season

		Dute	se			Gada	u	
Treatments	Weeks after Sowing							
	8	10	12	14	8	10	12	14
Biochar (t/ha)								
5	58.1 b	87.1 b	98.8 b	109.9 b	63.1 b	89.9 b	102.9	110.5
10	69.5 a	96.5 a	108.3 a	119.6 a	73.0 a	99.6 a	111.6	118.5
Level of Significance	0.018	0.038	0.049	0.032	0.037	0.050	0.080	0.120
SE±	3.04	3.40	3.14	2.93	3.09	3.25	3.31	3.45
Variety								
Black (yar baka)	60.8	91.5	102.0	112.5	66.7	95.1	106.8	114.3
White (yar fara)	67.3	92.1	105.1	116.9	69.3	94.4	107.7	114.8
Level of Significance	0.251	0.884	0.370	0.232	0.610	0.881	0.774	0.837
SE±	4.10	2.79	2.43	2.47	3.47	3.19	2.14	1.82
Interaction								
BC X VAR	0.248	0.208	0.312	0.264	0.179	0.221	0.224	0.238

# Table 4: Response of kenaf varieties Number of Days to 50% Flowering to biochar on at Dutse and Gadau during 2023 rainy season

Treatments	Number of Days to 50% Flowering		
Treatments	Dutse	Gadau	
Biochar (t/ha)			
5	86.9	91.6	
10	86.7	91.0	
Level of Significance	0.121	0.257	
SE±	0.243	0.155	
Variety			
Black	91.9 a	94.7 a	
White	81.6 b	87.9 b	
Level of Significance	<.001	<.001	
SE±	0.1792	0.284	
Interaction			
BC X VAR	0.477	0.317	

Table 5: Response of kenaf varieties Fresh Weight of Leaves to biochar at Dutse and Gadau during 2023	3
rainy season	

Treatments	Fresh Weight of Leaves (g)		
	Dutse	Gadau	
Biochar (t/ha)			
5	348.0	364.0	
10	331.0	324.0	
Level of Significance	0.781	0.681	
SE±	41.0	39.9	
Variety			
Black (yar baka)	360.0 a	357.0 a	
White (yar fara)	318.0 b	315.0 b	
Level of Significance	0.003	0.027	
SE±	36.7	35.5	
Interaction			
BC X VAR	0.861	0.994	

Means followed by the same letter within column are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using Fisher's protected least significance difference.

# **Dry Weight of Leaves**

No significant difference in dry weight of leaves was observed among varieties at both locations (Table 6).

### Fibre Yield per Plant

Significant differences were observed (p<0.05) for fibre yield per plant among the levels of biochar applied at both locations. Application of 10 t/ha had higher fibre yield per plant at both locations. There was significant difference (p<0.001) among the varieties at Dutse, and Black variety (yar baka) had higher fibre yield per plant at Dutse. No Significant difference was observed among the varieties at Gadau (Table 7).

#### Fibre Yield per Hectare

At Dutse, significant differences (p<0.05) were observed among the biochar levels and application of 10 t/ha biochar produced the highest yield of fibre. Among the varieties at both locations, black variety (yar baka) had higher fibre yield at both locations. There were no significant interactions observed between the factors biochar and variety at both locations (Table 8).

Table 6: Response of kenaf varieties Dry weight of leaves to biochar on at Dutse and Gadau during 2023 rainy season

Transformerste	Dry Weight of Leaves (g)		
Treatments	Dutse	Gadau	
Biochar (t/ha)			
5	139.3	143.5	
10	141.6	144.5	
Level of Significance	0.909	0.956	
SE±	13.95	13.18	
Variety			
Black (yar baka)	155.3	157.2	
White (yar fara)	125.5	130.8	
Level of Significance	0.095	0.353	
SE±	11.78	12.15	
Interaction			
BC X VAR	0.343	0.322	

# Table 7: Response of kenaf varieties Fibre Yield per Plant to biochar at Dutse and Gadau during 2023 rainy season

Treatments	Fibre Yield per Plant (g)		
Treatments	Dutse	Gadau	
Biochar (t/ha)			
5	652 b	622 b	
10	923 a	839 a	
Level of Significance	0.031	0.027	
SE±	0.418	0.441	
Variety			
Black (yar baka)	911 a	825	
White (yar fara)	747 b	686	
Level of Significance	<.001	0.278	
SE±	0.334	0.843	
Interaction			
BC X VAR	0.521	0.322	

#### Table 8: Response of kenaf varieties Fibre Yield per Hectare to biochar at Dutse and Gadau during 2023 rainy season

Treatments	Fibre Yield per Hectare (t)		
Treatments	Dutse	Gadau	
Biochar (t/ha)			
5	2.87 b	2.39	
10	5.50 a	4.68	
Level of Significance	0.040	0.587	
SE±	0.269	0.585	
Variety			
Black (yar baka)	5.29 a	4.79 a	
White (yar fara)	2.04 b	1.68 b	
Level of Significance	0.002	0.473	
SE±	0.176	1.744	
Interaction			
BC X VAR	0.946	0.925	

Means followed by the same letter within column are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using Fisher's protected least significance difference.

# Ash content

Significant differences (p<0.05) were observed in ash content between levels of biochar applied at Dutse; application of biochar at 5 t/ha had the highest ash content. At Gadau, there was no significant difference in ash content between the levels of biochar applied. Also, no significant difference was observed between the varieties at both locations (Table 9).

# Moisture content

No significant difference was observed in moisture content among the levels of biochar applied and varieties used at both locations. There were no significant interactions observed between the factors at both locations (Table 10). Similar results were obtained for dry matter content (Table 11). Significant interaction (p<0.05) was observed between varieties and biochar (Table 12).

Table 9: Response of kenaf varieties Ash Content to bi	iochar at Dutse and Gadau during 2023 rainy season

T	Ash Content (%)		
Treatments	Dutse	Gadau	
Biochar (t/ha)		1	
5	2.58 a	1.92	
10	1.98 b	1.86	
Level of Significance	0.013	0.750	
SE±	0.206	0.13	
Variety	· ·		
Black (yar baka)	2.22	1.93	
White (yar fara)	2.03	1.84	
Level of Significance	0.099	0.572	
SE±	0.126	0.10	
Interaction		·	
BC X VAR	0.660	0.616	

#### Table 10: Response of kenaf varieties Moisture Content to biochar at Dutse and Gadau during 2023 rainy season

Treatments	Moisture Content (%)	
	Dutse	Gadau
Biochar (t/ha)		
5	6.14	5.29
10	5.72	5.03
Level of Significance	0.205	0.266
SE±	0.226	0.16
Variety		
Black (yar baka)	5.75	5.40
White (yar fara)	6.11	4.92
Level of Significance	0.428	0.168
SE±	0.308	0.23
Interaction		
BC X VAR	0.769	0.057

# Table 11: Response of kenaf varieties Dry Matter to biochar at Dutse and Gadau during 2023 rainy season

-	- -	
Treatments	Dry Matter (%)	
	Dutse	Gadau
Biochar (t/ha)		
5	93.9	94.7
10	94.4	94.9
Level of Significance	0.110	0.318
SE±	0.223	0.16
Variety		
Black (yar baka)	94.4	94.6
White (yar fara)	94.0	95.0
Level of Significance	0.372	0.294
SE±	0.311	0.24
Interaction		
BC X VAR	0.872	0.018

Means followed by the same letter within column are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using Fisher's protected least significance difference.

Table 12: Interaction between varieties and biochar on dry matter (%) of kenaf during 2023 rainy season at Gadau

Variety	Biochar (t/ha)	
	5	10
Black (yar baka)	93.6 b	95.0 a
White (yar fara)	92.2 b	94.8 ab
SE±	0.297	

#### DISCUSSION

Application of biochar had a significant effect on growth (plant height, number of leaves, chlorophyll content, and stem diameter) of two varieties of kenaf cultivated in Sahel savannah showing the ability of biochar in improve growth and yield of kenaf. This could be attributed to the beneficial role of biochar in providing soil nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and other essential nutrients which in turn improved the overall performance of kenaf in the region as earlier reported by Jeffery *et al.*, (2011) showing that biochar application enhances soil structure by increasing aggregate stability and pore space and promotes better root penetration and water infiltration. Also, Lehmann et al., (2003) and Major et al., (2010) had earlier asserted that biochar application can improve soil fertility through mechanisms such as increased cation exchange capacity and nutrient retention, creating a more favorable environment for fibre crop growth. When applied, biochar increases both micro and macro nutrients as well as enhances the physical and chemical properties of the soil thereby leading to high vegetative crop growth. Dademel et al., (2004) reported similar finding on okra where nitrogen content in biochar enhanced leaf production, flowering, seed and root formation and metabolic activities. The application of biochar at 10 t/ha which led to higher kenaf fibre may partly be explained by the fact that leafy plants requires higher dose of essential nutrients, especially N, P and K for growth and development and the higher doses of biochar led to significant improvement of physical and chemical properties of the soil which enhanced crop development and high yields through enhancing partitioning of photosynthates. This was earlier reported by Steiner et al. (2007) that biochar increases fertilizer use efficiency, leading to increased plant biomass. Equally, Sarah et al., (2020) reported that biochar role in ameliorating soil pH, particularly in acidic soils common to many agricultural regions thereby creating a more favorable environment for nutrient uptake and microbial activity, further improving soil fertility which subsequently increase the yield of fibre in a ramie plant.

Application of biochar showed significant differences on varieties. Differences among the kenaf varieties in terms of their growth, yield and yield components may be attributed to what Akinfasoye *et al.*, (1997) reported that such differences in yield parameters of crops are attributed to the cultivars and its genetic capabilities. This was earlier asserted by Adeniyan *et al.*, (2014) that variation in characters due to genetic and environmental variations and soil factors may affecting growth and yield of crops (Mader *et al.*,2002). Williams (2004) observed differences in yield of kenaf varieties. Such varietal differences tend to influence nutrient uptake and utilization mechanisms, particularly for essential nutrients involved in chlorophyll synthesis, such as nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (Ahmad, 2018). Biochar did not show significant differences on quality parameters except on ash content. The nutrient levels of kenaf fibres could be influenced from nutrient status of the soils. Such observations are not uncommon as previous experiments

have shown positive correlations between soil nutrients and plant tissue nutrients content (Radwan and Awad, 2002; Agyarko *et al.*, 2006; Ouda and Mahadeen, 2008).

#### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The results of this study showed that the application of biochar at 10 t/ha significantly provided better quality and yield of fibre with the black variety (yar baka) providing better yield and quality fibre. From the above results, application of 10 t/ha of biochar and black variety (yar baka) of kenaf can be recommended to farmers in the Sudan savannah zone of Nigeria for better yield and fibre quality of kenaf.

#### REFERENCES

Adeniyan O.N., Aluko O.A., Olanipekun S.O. (2014). Genotype x environment interaction and stability analysis in kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) for growth and yield performances in Southwest Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 6: 225-231.

Agyarko K, Kwakye P.K, Bonsu M, Osei B.A. (2006). Impact of application of neem leaves and poultry manure on nutrient dynamics of a Haplic Acrisol. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.*, 52:687–695.

Ahmed M., Nigussie D., Bekele A. (2018). Effect of planting methods and vine harvesting on shoot and tuberous root yields of sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.] in the afar region of Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 7:1129-1141.

Akinfasoye J.A., Olufolaji A.O., Tauru F.M., Adenawoola R.A. (1997). Effects of different phosphorus levels on the yield of four varieties of rained tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). Proceedings of the 15<sup>th</sup> Hortson Conference Nihort, AgoIwoye, pp. 65-66.

AOAC (2005). Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemist Washington, D.C. 16<sup>th</sup> edition.

Baker E.F.I. (1970). *Kenaf and Roselle in Western Nigeria*. World Crops, 22:380-386.

Cheng Z., Sameshima K., Chen J.K. (2004). Genetic variability and relationship of kenaf germplasm based on RAPD analysis (in Chinese). *Plant Fibres and Products*, 1: 1-12.

Dempsey J.M. (1975). *Fibre crops*. The University Press of Florida, Gainesville, p. 203-304.

Food and Agriculture Organization (2022). Statistical Year Book.

Gregory S.J., Anderson C.W.N, Camps Arbestain M., McManus M.T. (2014). Response of plant and soil microbes to biochar amendment of arsenic contaminated soil. *Agric. Ecosystem Environ.*, 191:133-141.

Institute of Agricultural Research and Training (IART) (2021). *Guide on Kenaf Production and Processing*, 3(2).

Jeffery S., Verheijen F.G., Van der Velde M., Bastos A.C. (2011). A quantitative review of the effects of biochar application to soils on crop productivity using meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 144: 175-187.

Kobayashi Y., Otsuka K., Taniwaki K., Sugimoto M., Kobayashi K. (2003). Development of Kenaf Harvesting Technology using a modified sugarcane harvester. *Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly*, 37: 65-69.

Lehmann J., Pereira da Silva Jr. J., Steiner C., Nehls T., Zech W., Glaser, B. (2003). Nutrient availability and leaching in an archaeological Anthrosol and a Ferralsol of the Central Amazon Basin: Fertilizer, Manure and Charcoal Amendments. *Plant and Soil*, 249: 343- 357.

LeMahieu P.J., Oplinger E.S., Putnam D.H. (1991). Kenaf Alternative field crop manual St. Paul. University of Minnesota.

Mader P., Fliessbach A., Dubois D., Gunst L., Fried P., Niggli U. (2002). Soil fertility and biodiversity in organic farming. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 296:1694-1697.

Major J. (2010). Practical Aspects of Biochar Application to Tree Crops. *IBI Technical Bulletin* #102, International Biochar Initiative.

Mehmood S., Imtiaz M., Bashir S., Rizwan M., Irshad S., Yuvaraja G., Ikram M., Aziz O., Ditta A., Rehman S.U., Shakeel Q., Mumtaz M.A., Ahmed W., Mahmood S., Chen D., and Tu S. (2019). Leaching behaviour of Pb and Cd and transformation of their speciation in contaminated soil receiving different passivators. *Environ. Eng. Sci.*, 36: 749–759.

Mostofa M.G., Rahaman L., Ghosh R.K. (2013). Genetic analysis of some important seed yield related traits in kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.). *Journal of Natural Science*, 47:155-165.

NAERLS (2019). Production of Kenaf in Nigeria. *Extension Bulletins* no 66. National Agricultural Extension and Research Liaison Services (NAERLS) Zaria, Nigeria.

Ouda B.A., Mahadeen A.Y. (2008). Effect of fertilizers on growth, yield, yield components, quality and certain nutrient contents in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea*). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 10: 627-632.

Radwan S.M.A. (2002). The use of biofertilizers in increasing the uptake of plant nutrients in some vegetable crops. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ. Cairo, 243-260.

Ren S.Z., Ma Z.J., Wang J.H., Zeng G.R. (2011). Establishment of model of chronic superficial gastritis in rat. *Chinese Journal of Experimental Traditional Medical Formulae*, 17: 191-193.

Saffeullah P., Nabi N., Zaman M.B., Liaqat S., Siddiqi T.O., Umar S. (2021). Efficacy of characterized prosopis wood biochar amendments in improving growth, nitrogen use efficiency, nitrate accumulation, and mineral content in cabbage genotypes. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 21: 690708.

Sarah M., Marschner P., Fitzpatrick R., Mosley L.M. (2020). Assessment of the binding of protons, Al and Fe to biochar at different pH values and soluble metal concentrations. *Water*, 10: 55.

Steiner S., Fliessbach A., Dubois D., Gunst L., Fried P., Niggli U. (2007). Soil fertility and biodiversity in organic farming. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 296: 1694-1697.

Ullah N., Ditta A., Imtiaz M., Li X., Jan A.U., Mehmood S., Rizwan M.S., Rizwan M., (2021). Appraisal for organic amendments and plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria to enhance crop productivity under drought stress: a Review. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 207: 783-802.

Williams J.H. (2004). Influence of row spacing and nitrogen levels on dry matter yield of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.). *Agronomy Journal*, 58: 166-168.

Wilson F.D, Menzel M.Y (1964). Kenaf (*H. cannabinus* L.), Roselle (*H. sabdariffa*). *Econ. Bot.*, 18: 80-91.